EXHIBIT I



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addrew. COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1490 Alexandria, Virginia 22111-1410 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/527,844	03/17/2000	Timothy J. Barberich	4821-334-999	3697
20582	7590 . 09/12/2003			
PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP			EXAMINER	
1667 K STREET NW SUITE 1000			BAHAR, MOJDEH	
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20006		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
01 Dus	9/19/12		1617	71

appeal Due 9/18/03c=

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

DATE MAILED: 09/12/2003

<i>△</i>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/527,844	BARBERICH ET AL.			
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Mojdeh Bahar	1617			
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. PERIOD FOR RE a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing by Market to the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the pe	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel EPLY [check either a) or b)] g date of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding among the void abandone is a set of the corresponding among the corresponding the corresponding among the corresponding the corr	ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in y filed Request for Continued in the final rejection, whichever is later. In g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension bunt of the fee. The appropriate extension			
ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CTR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).	ce later than three months after the mai CFR 1.704(b). S Brief must be filed within the pe	ling date of the final rejection, even if eriod set forth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons <u>ee Continuation Sheet</u> .	idered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered and an own or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).				
0. Other:					
	SRE Pr	ENI PADMANABHAN 9/12/03			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 21

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: no unrebutted arguments as to the obviousness rejection have been presented. Applicant's arguments regarding the 102 rejection have been considered, but are not persuasive. Note that it is well established patent law that the Examiner is to give the broadest reasonable interpretation to the claim language. Given the broadest reasonable interpretation, when the Skilled Artisan administers ziprasidone to a patient, he inevitably administers its metabolites Therefore the administration of metabolites of ziprasidone are inherent in administering the drug, ziprasidone.

Note that the case at bar is distinguishable from the Schering Corp. v. Geneva Pharmaceuticals. In the dicta, on page 7, the Court reasons that metabolites are not categorically anticipated by the drug itself. It further explains that a metabolite in its isolated and/or pure form is not anticipated by the drug itself. Note that no pure or isolated metabolite of ziprasidone is claimed herein. Therefore applicant's reliance on Schering is misplaced.